

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/648,536	LOCKERBIE ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	JAE W. LEE	1656	

**All Participants:**

 (1) JAE W. LEE.

 (2) Laura Archiniegas.
**Status of Application:** After Appeal Brief

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 12 January 2011
**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

103(a)

Claims discussed:

12-15, 17-19 and 22

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A
**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*The Examiner has proposed an amendment to claims which would put the instant case into condition for allowance. See attached.*
**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)